

Arizona Treatment Law Report Card

Last Updated: January 2026

Method and scoring: *State involuntary civil commitment laws* are evaluated using a 100-point grading scale. The scoring criteria are in accordance with TAC's values and policy recommendations. Up to 50 points are awarded to a state based on the quality of its inpatient commitment law, and up to 50 points are awarded based on the quality of its outpatient commitment law. *Grades reflect solely what is written in law and not how those laws are implemented or applied.* Final letter grades are computed using the following scale:

97 or above	A+	87–89	B+	77–79	C+	67–69	D+	59 or below	F
93–96	A	83–86	B	73–76	C	63–66	D		
90–92	A-	80–82	B-	70–72	C-	60–62	D-		

PART ONE: Inpatient Commitment Statute (up to 50 points)

Criterion	Citation	Specifications	Points
1. Citizen access to court, emergency evaluation (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 36-524(A)–(B), 36-525, 36-521(D), 36-523(C)	☐ Authorizes family/enumerated adults (3 pts) ☐ Authorizes any responsible adult (2 pts) (See comment below)	0
2. Quality of emergency petition process (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 36-524, 36-525, 523(C), 36-501	☒ Process specified and reasonable (2 pts) ☒ Timelines specified and reasonable (2 pts) ☒ Responsible entities identified (1 pts) ☐ Requires certification by more than one professional (-2 pts) ☐ Emergency evaluation criteria inconsistent with inpatient commitment criteria (-5 pts)	5
3. Emergency hold duration (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-527(A)	☒ At least 48-hour hold allowed (3 pts) ☒ At least 72-hour hold allowed (2 pts) 72 hours upon court order; order must be sought within 24 hours	5
4. Citizen access to court, inpatient petition (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-531(B)	☐ Authorizes family/enumerated adults (3 pts) ☐ Authorizes any responsible adult (2 pts)	0
5. Quality of criteria for harm or violence to self or others (up to 10 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-501(8)–(9)	☒ Contains explicit criteria (10 pts) ☐ Language is vague/ambiguous (-3 pts) ☐ Harm must be imminent (-3 pts)	10
6. Quality of criteria for grave disability/basic needs (up to 10 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-501(16)	☒ Contains explicit criteria (10 pts) ☐ Language is vague/ambiguous (-3 pts) ☐ Endangerment must be imminent (-3 pts) ☐ Criteria require family to turn person out of home to receive treatment (-3 pts) ☐ Unreasonably high risk of harm (-3 pts)	10
7. Quality of criteria for psychiatric deterioration (up to 10 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-501(33)	☒ Contains explicit criteria (10 pts) ☐ Language is vague/ambiguous (-3 pts)	10
SUBTOTAL			40
PART ONE: Extra Credit			
1. Specifies in which court a petition for inpatient commitment shall be filed (1 pt)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-501(6)	Superior court in the county in which the patient resides or was found before screening or emergency admission	1
Extra Credit			1
PART ONE TOTAL			41

PART TWO: Outpatient Commitment Statute (up to 50 points)			
Criterion	Citation	Specifications	Points
1. AOT explicitly authorized (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-540(A)(1)	☐ Requires local government to adopt (-3 pts)	5
2. Citizen access to court for AOT (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-531(B)	☐ Authorizes family/enumerated adults (3 pts) ☐ Authorizes any responsible adult (2 pts) ☐ Authorizes citizen petition to mental health system only (-2 pts)	0
3. Criteria sufficiently broad to provide actual access (up to 10 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-540(A)	Evaluate applicable provision only: ☒ If inpatient/outpatient criteria are the same: ☒ Inpatient criteria include psychiatric deterioration standard (10 pts) <u>or</u> ☐ No psychiatric deterioration standard, adequate grave disability standard (5 pts) or ☐ If outpatient criteria are distinct from inpatient criteria: ☐ Allows consideration of length of treatment history ≥ 36 months (2 pts) ☐ Does not exclude periods of incarceration or hospitalization (-1 pt) ☐ Does not limit application to those currently dangerous or unstable (4 pts) ☐ Does not limit application to those refusing service or currently lacking insight (4 pts)	10
4. Authorizes AOT directly from community (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-540(A)	Petition must be filed during a court-ordered evaluation	5
5. Procedures sufficiently detailed to guide practitioners (up to 5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 36-533, 36-539, 36-540(E), 36-543	☒ Process specified and reasonable (1 pt) ☒ Timelines specified and reasonable (1 pt) ☒ Responsible entities identified (1 pt) ☐ Periodic reporting to court required (1 pt) ☒ Renewal process expressly specified (1 pt)	4
6. Procedures require the treatment plan to be shared with the court (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 36-540(C)(2), 36-540.01		5
7. Specifies procedures and consequences for nonadherence (5 pts)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 36-540(E), 36-540.01(C), 36-541		5
8. Duration of initial order	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-540(D)	☐ = 90 days (2 pts) <u>or</u> ☒ > 90 days (5 pts) Up to one year	5
9. Duration of continued order	Ariz. Rev. Stat. §§ 36-540(D), 36-543	☐ = 180 days (2 pts) <u>or</u> ☒ > 180 days (5 pts) Up to one year	5
SUBTOTAL			44
PART TWO: Extra Credit			
1. Specifies court for AOT (1 pt)	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-501(6)	Superior court in the county in which the patient resides or was found before screening or emergency admission	1
2. Court monitoring of voluntary settlement agreements (5 pts)			
Extra Credit			1
PART TWO TOTAL			45

FINAL SCORE	
PART ONE TOTAL	41
PART TWO TOTAL	45
TOTAL	86
TREATMENT LAW GRADE	B

Comment: Any responsible adult may apply to a *screening or evaluation agency* for emergency evaluation; however, only that agency may petition the *court* for a court order for evaluation.